THE HONORABLE JAMES L. ROBART 1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 8 9 CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY,) Case No. 2:13-cv-01866-JLR 10 Plaintiff, AMICI SCIENTISTS' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' 11 MOTION TO STRIKE EXTRAv. RECORD MATERIALS IN AMICI'S 12 UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL BRIEF PROTECTION AGENCY, ET AL., 13 14 Defendants. 15 16 17 RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STRIKE EXTRA-RECORD MATERIALS IN AMICI'S BRIEF 18 Amici respectfully urge the Court to deny the Defendants' motion to strike references in 19 amici's brief, for the following reasons. 20 First, these references were provided by two of the world's leading scientists on ocean 21 22 acidification to assist the court in understanding the science involved, the nature of the risks 23 presented, and the broader policy implications of this case. See Reagan Wm. Simpson, How to 24 Envt'l and Nat'l Resources Law Clinic CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY v. EPA 25 Vermont Law School AMICI SCIENTISTS' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' PO Box 96; 164 Chelsea Street MOTION TO STRIKE EXTRA-RECORD MATERIALS 26 South Royalton, VT 05068 No. 2:13-cv-01866-JLR 802-831-1630 27 1

28

Be a Good Friend to the Court: Strategic Use of Amicus Briefs, 28 Brief 38, 39 (Spring 1999). As noted by Justice Breyer, amici scientists "play an important role in educating the judges on potentially relevant technical matters, helping make us not experts, but moderately-educated lay persons, and that education helps to improve the quality of our decisions." Stephen Breyer, *The Interdependence of Science and Law*, 82 Judicature 24, 26 (July/August 1998).

Second, contrary to defendants' unduly restrictive view of the record review rule, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that "the courts are not straightjacketed to the original record in trying to make sense of complex technical testimony, which is often presented in administrative proceedings without ultimate review by non-expert judges in mind." *Bunker Hill Co. v. EPA*, 572 F.2d 1286, 1292 (9th Cir. 1977). In *Bunker Hill*, both EPA and the industry petitioner were allowed to augment the record with additional expert evidence regarding the basis for EPA's requirement for additional emissions control.

Further, a reviewing court "may go outside the record: (1) to determine whether the agency considered all relevant factors . . .; (2) to determine whether the agency's 'course of inquiry was sufficient or inadequate' . . .; (3) when it is necessary to explain the agency's action; (4) when the agency has relied on evidence outside the record; and (5) to explain technical terms or complex subject matter. . . ." *Hells Canyon Preservation Council v. Jacoby*, 9 F. Supp. 2d 1216, 1223 (D. Or. 1998). The information submitted by these amici scientists, consisting of citations to peer-reviewed literature supporting their opinions on the causes and consequences of ocean acidification, falls squarely within category (5), and also fits within categories (1) and (2).

Explaining these categories, the Ninth Circuit has noted that it is appropriate to "consider evidence relevant to the substantive merits of the agency action only for background

CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY v. EPA AMICI SCIENTISTS' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STRIKE EXTRA-RECORD MATERIALS No. 2:13-cv-01866-JLR Envt'l and Nat'l Resources Law Clinic Vermont Law School PO Box 96; 164 Chelsea Street South Royalton, VT 05068 802-831-1630

1	informationor for the limited purposes of ascertaining whether the agency considered all the
2	relevant factors or fully explicated its course of conduct on grounds of decision." Asarco, Inc. v.
3	<i>U.S. EPA</i> , 616 F.2d 1153, 1160 (9th Cir. 1980). That is all that these amici seek. Amici
4	maintain that there is sufficient scientific evidence of the threats posed by ocean acidification to
5	warrant the listing of coastal waters in the Pacific Northwest as impaired within the meaning of
6	the Clean Water Act, and offer their expert opinion, supported by the material cited in the brief,
7	for the court's consideration. Amici respect that the principal focus of the court's review is on
8	the administrative record in existence at the time the decision was made but suggest that the
9	court should consider the information they provided in determining whether EPA's interpretation
11	of the available evidence was reasonable in light of its own guidance on making listing
12	determinations under §303(d) of the Clean Water Act.
13	#
14	#
15	#
16	#
17	#
18	#
19	#
20 21	#
22	#
23	#
24	#
25	CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY v. EPA AMICI SCIENTISTS' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STRIKE FYTRA DECORD MATERIALS Envt'l and Nat'l Resources Law Clinic Vermont Law School PO Box 96; 164 Chelsea Street
26	MOTION TO STRIKE EXTRA-RECORD MATERIALS South Royalton, VT 05068

3

South Royalton, VT 05068

802-831-1630

28

27

No. 2:13-cv-01866-JLR

1	Respectfully submitted this 17 th day of September, 2014.
2	
3	s/ Patrick Parenteau
4	Patrick Parenteau (Pro Hac Vice)
4	Environmental and Natural Resources Law Clinic Vermont Law School
5	South Royalton, VT 05068
6	(802) 831-1630 Phone (802) 831-1631 Fax
7	pparenteau@vermontlaw.edu
8	<u>s/ Todd D. True</u>
9	TODD D. TRUE (WSB #12864)
10	Earthjustice 705 Second Avenue Suite 203
	705 Second Avenue, Suite 203 Seattle, WA 98104
11	(206) 343-7340 Phone
12	(206) 343-1526 Fax ttrue@earthjustice.org
13	titue & cartifustice.org
	Attorneys for Amici Scientists
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY v. EPA Envt'l and Nat'l Resources Law Clinic
26	AMICI SCIENTISTS' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STRIKE EXTRA-RECORD MATERIALS Vermont Law School PO Box 96; 164 Chelsea Street South Royalton, VT 05068
27	No. 2:13-cv-01866-JLR 802-831-1630

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 I hereby certify that, on September 17, 2014, I electronically filed the foregoing with the 2 Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the 3 4 following: 5 Counsel for parties participating in electronic service via the CM/ECF 6 system for Case No. 2:13-cv-01866-JLR. 7 8 9 Dated September 17, 2014 s/ Patrick Parenteau Patrick Parenteau (*Pro Hac Vice*) 10 Environmental and Natural Resources Law Clinic Vermont Law School 11 South Royalton, VT 05068 (802) 831-1630 | Phone 12 (802) 831-1631 | Fax pparenteau@vermontlaw.edu 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY v. EPA AMICI SCIENTISTS' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' 26 MOTION TO STRIKE EXTRA-RECORD MATERIALS Envt'l and Nat'l Resources Law Clinic Vermont Law School 27 No. 2:13-cv-01866-JLR PO Box 96; 164 Chelsea Street

28

South Royalton, VT 05068

(802) 831-1630